

USEPA Research Activities to Characterize Children's Environmental Exposures



Elaine Cohen Hubal and Linda Sheldon
National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. EPA
ISEA 13th Annual Conference, Stresa, Italy
September 21-25, 2003



ORD/NERL Exposure Research

- Improve scientific basis of risk assessments
 - Identify important stressors, sources, pathways
 - Identify determinants of exposure
 - Characterize potential for exposure
- Contribute to human health studies (tox, clinical, epi)
 - Predict, measure, classify exposure and dose
- Test intervention and regulation
 - Predict and measure exposure and dose



Mandate to Address Children's Health

- 1996 Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA)
- 1996 Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments
- 1997 Presidential Task Force on Environmental Health and Safety Risks to Children
- 1998 and 2000 Initiatives on children's environmental health
- 2000 ORD Strategy for Research on Environmental Risks to Children



FQPA Basis for Research

- The Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) requires
 - Children's risks to pesticide exposures be considered
 - Exposure assessments to be conducted for all exposure pathways
 - Assessments use high quality and high quantity exposure data or models based on exposure factors generated from existing, reliable data
- Protocols for children's exposure analysis are not developed and evaluated
- Limited data on exposures, activities, and exposure factors for children
- Models are needed to characterize children's exposure for multiple pollutants across multiple pathways, including variability and uncertainty



Children's Focus - Framework

- Develop a conceptual model
- Identify potential exposure pathways and scenarios
- Define algorithms, exposure factors, and data requirements
- Perform a screening assessment to evaluate the range of exposures for, and significance of, each pathway
- Identify data gaps and uncertainties associated with current defaults
- Design research needed to address data gaps and reduce uncertainty



Critical Gaps

- Product use patterns in locations where children spend time
- Distribution of contaminants in locations
- Age/developmental benchmarks for categorizing children's exposure
- Activity pattern data, especially for young kids
- Approaches and factors for estimating dermal and non-dietary exposures
- Population exposure data on children



Many On-going Studies

- Children's Total Exposure to Persistent Pesticides and Other Persistent Organic Pollutants (CTEPP)
- Targeted Laboratory and Field Studies in support of FQPA
 - Feasibility of Macroactivity Approach – Day Care Jazzercise
 - Characterize Important Factors for Transfer Activities
 - Post Application Exposure Studies
 - Transport of Pesticides in Test House
 - Survey of Environmental Hazards in Child Care Centers
 - CDC Duval County Pesticide Exposure Study
 - Pet Study
 - Kid's in Agricultural Communities
 - Kid's Dietary Ingestion Study
- Longitudinal Exposure Study for Infants and Toddlers



CTEPP: Children's Total Exposure to Persistent Pesticides and Other Persistent Organic Pollutants

(P.I.: Marsha Morgan)

- Study assessing exposures of preschool children (3-5 year) to common contaminants in their everyday surroundings.
- 260 children and their primary caregivers
 - North Carolina and Ohio
 - Urban and rural
 - Day care centers and residential settings
 - Low-income and middle/upper income
- Samples collected over 48 hours:
 - Food
 - Beverages
 - Water
 - Indoor Air
 - Outdoor Air
 - Urine
 - Hand Wipes
 - Dust
 - Play Area Soil
- Questionnaires, diaries, and videotapes
 - Food Diaries
 - Daycare Menus
 - Activity Diaries
 - Videotaping (10%)



CTEPP — Targeted Pollutants

1. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (benzo[a]pyrene)
2. Phthalates (di-n-butyl phthalate)
3. Phenols (bisphenol-A)
4. Chlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
5. Organochlorine Pesticides (lindane, heptachlor, DDT, DDE)
6. Organophosphorus Pesticides (diazinon, chlorpyrifos)
7. Acid Herbicides (2, 4-D, dicamba)
8. Triazine Pesticide (atrazine)
9. Pyrethrin Pesticides (permethrins)

Reason for Selection: Possible carcinogens, endocrine disruptors, teratogens, neurotoxins, or residues are commonly found indoors or in food or water.



Key Outputs

- Greater understanding of children's total exposure and doses to pollutants
- Important exposure pathways for young children
- Important environmental media that contribute to children's exposures to pollutants
- Improved approaches for estimating children's exposures and potential doses to pollutants
- FQPA - Critical data gaps, model inputs
- High quality exposure data and tools to improve risk assessments, and to reduce children's exposures to environmental contaminants.



Field Studies in Support of FQPA

- Developing Empirically Derived Transfer Coefficients to Assess Dermal Exposure for Children (Elaine Cohen Hubal)
 - Screened 8 daycare centers following pesticide application
 - Selected one daycare for monitoring of children following a scheduled application.
 - Two classrooms; 4-5 children from each classroom
 - Two age groups: infants (6-12 months) and 2-3 year olds.
 - Three post-application monitoring visits
 - Two monitoring sessions of 35-55 minutes per visit in each classroom.
 - The children were clothed in full-body cotton suits to measure dermal loading.
 - Surface residues were measured on classroom surfaces.
 - Videotaping to verify the children's activity levels and location
- First National Survey of Environmental Hazards in Child Care Centers (HUD, CPSC, EPA) (Nicolle Tolve)
 - Probability sample of 150 child care centers in U.S.
 - Lead, allergens, and pesticides



Field Studies in Support of FQPA

- EOHHSI Post-Application Exposure Study (Nicolle Tulve)
 - Nine homes in urban NJ; children 2-5 years old
 - Exposure Assessment – indoor air, surface wipes, dust wipes, toys, dermal wipes, urine, activity diary, videotaping, cotton dosimeters
- CDC/Duval County Children's Pesticide Exposure Study (Nicolle Tulve)
 - Urine samples -200 children -analysis by CDC
Organophosphate and pyrethroid pesticide metabolites
 - Environmental screening - 50 homes - Duval County Health Department Urine, surface press, surface wipes, activity diary, pesticide inventory
 - Aggregate Exposure -9 homes - EPA
Urine, air, surface press, wipes, duplicate diet, activity diary, cotton dosimeters



Other Field and Laboratory Studies

- Characterize Pesticide Residue Transfer Efficiencies Using Fluorescent Tracer Imaging Techniques
- Measuring Dietary Intake of Young Children (Lisa Melnyk)
- Characterizing Pesticide Transfer to Foods from Household Surfaces (Lisa Melnyk)
- Pet study - exposure to pet-borne diazinon residues following residential turf applications (Dan Stout and Marsha Morgan)
- Children's post-application pesticide exposure study (Nicolle Tulve)
- Pesticide distributions in the EPA test house (Dan Stout)
- Factors affecting transport and behavior of pesticides - environmental chamber testing (Dan Stout)



Targeted Studies - Critical Benefits

- Demonstrate approaches for assessing dermal exposures
- Develop exposure factors to address gaps in children's exposure assessments
- Develop approaches, methods, and protocols for measuring multimedia exposures to children, including methods that account for important activities that take place in home, school, and day care settings
- Collect data on multimedia pesticide concentrations, pesticide biomarkers, and exposure factors that can be used as inputs to aggregate exposure models for children



Available Data

- Pesticide use patterns in homes, daycares, schools
- Pesticide levels and distribution in homes, daycares, schools
- Levels of some persistent organic pollutants in homes and daycares
- Children's activity data for 3-5 year olds - questionnaires, videotapes
- Exposure factor data (transfer efficiencies, dermal transfer coefficients)
- Urinary biomarkers for children 3-5 years



Longitudinal Exposure Study for Infants and Toddlers

(Roy Fortmann)

- Assess exposures of infants and toddlers to chemicals in the residential environment
- Two-year longitudinal study of 80-100 infants/toddlers
- Two cohorts: (1) enrolled shortly after birth, (2) enrolled at 1 year
- To be conducted in Jacksonville, Florida
- Up to six monitoring visits over two years on schedule related to child development (based on RAF proposed age bins)
- Monitoring prior to, and immediately following, a pesticide application
- Analyze for current-use pesticides and pesticide metabolites
- Measure phthalates, BFRs (polybrominated diphenyl ethers), perfluorinated compounds (PFOS, PFOA)



Key Outputs - Longitudinal Data

- Evaluated approaches and algorithms for assessing children's exposures
- Critical inputs to aggregate exposure models
- Data on exposure factors
- Data on age and developmentally related differences in children's exposures to pesticides in homes
- Data on impact of children's locations and activities on exposures to pesticides in homes
- Current-use residential pesticide exposure data for very young children (0-3 years)



Other Activities

- VCCEP Voluntary Children's Chemical Evaluation Program (OPPT)
- Children's Risk Assessment Framework (NCEA, ILSI Workshop)
- Considering developmental changes when assessing exposures to children (RAF - NCEA)
- Activity pattern survey platform (NCER)
- Children's Centers - current focus on asthma and pesticides (US EPA, NIEHS)
- Pilots to support the National Children's Study



Research Needs

- Still need to understand determinants of exposure. What do we need to measure, and how do we interpret measures to efficiently characterize exposures for health studies and risk assessment
- Approaches for characterizing and classifying behavior for exposure assessment
- Methods for collecting biomarker data in children and approaches for interpreting these measures
- Approaches for conducting risk assessments that systematically identify and address developmental/behavioral windows of potentially high exposures as well as windows of susceptibility
- Screening approaches (tiered exposure assessment and standard exposure “tests”) to focus research on exposures that are potentially the most significant



Disclaimer

This work has been funded wholly or in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. It has been subjected to Agency review and approved for publication.